

Clarence C. Voigt,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
)	AND RECOMMENDATION
vs.)	
)	Case No. 1:16-cv-155
Adam Hamm, et. Al.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

The Court has carefully reviewed the Report and Recommendation, relevant case law, and the entire record, and finds the Report and Recommendation to be persuasive. The Court finds the Plaintiff's objection to Judge Miller's Report and recommendation unpersuasive. The complaint fails to state any cognizable claims under Section 1983, and the exercise of supplemental jurisdiction over the non-federal claims is unwarranted. The motion to amend the complaint fails as well as the Plaintiff has not included a proposed amended complaint along with his motion and the Court fails to see how an amendment can save claims that are clearly not cognizable under Section 1983.

Accordingly, the Court **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation (Docket No. 6) in its entirety and **ORDERS** Voigt's federal claims be **DISMISSED** with prejudice and his non-federal

claims be **DISMISSED** without prejudice. The motion to amend the complaint (Docket No. 7) is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 18th day of July, 2016.

/s/ Daniel L. Hovland
Daniel L. Hovland, District Judge
United States District Court